

Higher-order parametric level statistics in disordered systems

E. Kanzieper¹ and V. Freilikher²

¹*The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, P.O.B. 586, 34100 Trieste, Italy*

²*The Jack and Pearl Resnick Institute of Advanced Technology, Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel*

(Received 20 February 1998; revised manuscript received 13 August 1998)

Higher-order parametric level correlations in disordered systems with broken time-reversal symmetry are studied by mapping the problem onto a model of coupled Hermitian random matrices. Closed analytical expression is derived for a parametric density-density correlation function that corresponds to a perturbation of disordered system by a multicomponent flux. [S1063-651X(99)14503-3]

PACS number(s): 05.45.-a, 71.55.Jv, 73.23.-b

Parametric level statistics reflect the response of the spectrum $\{E_n\}$ of complex chaotic systems to an external perturbation. A few years ago it was shown [1–3] that a system whose spectrum follows closely the universal fluctuations predicted by the random matrix theory [4] should also exhibit a universal parametric behavior. This conclusion was reached by analyzing the dimensionless autocorrelator of level velocities of electron in a disordered metallic sample with a ring topology, enclosing a magnetic flux φ . A diagrammatic perturbation technique was used in the range of fluxes $g^{-1/2} \ll \varphi \ll 1$ [1], while the opposite limit, $\varphi < g^{-1/2}$ [2], has been treated within the framework of the supersymmetry formalism [5,6]. [Here $g \gg 1$ is the dimensionless conductance.] In this particular problem, the parametric correlations take a universal form involving the rescaled parameter $X^2 = 4\pi g \varphi^2$, with $g = E_c/\Delta$, the ratio of the Thouless energy and the mean level spacing. Numerical simulations have supported the point that the universal character of parametric level statistics extends to a wider class of chaotic systems without disorder [chaotic billiards] whose Hamiltonian depends on some external parameter x . In such systems, the spectral fluctuations taken at different values of x become system-independent after rescaling, $x \rightarrow X$, which involves solely the “generalized” dimensionless conductance $g = (4\pi)^{-1} \Delta^{-2} \langle [\partial E_n(x)/\partial x]^2 \rangle$. Along with the diagrammatic technique and the supersymmetry formalism, the parametric correlations have been studied in detail within the model of Brownian motion [7,8], in the semiclassical limit [9,10], and by the loop-equations technique [11].

A further burst of activity in the field occurred after it was realized [3,7,12–15] that the problem of parametric level correlations is identical to the ground-state dynamics of the integrable many-body quantum model known as Calogero-Sutherland-Moser [CSM] system. This gave new information about CSM space-time (r, τ) correlation functions that can be obtained from parametric density-density correlators $\langle \nu(E, 0) \nu(E', \varphi) \rangle$ involving only two different external parameters by mapping [3] $X^2 \rightarrow -2i\tau, E/\Delta \rightarrow r$. For the more general situation of higher-order correlation functions the connection between CSM fermions and quantum chaotic systems has been established [15] as well by using the supersymmetry technique; however, it has not led to any explicit

analytical results beyond the two-point correlators due to enormous increase of number of entries in the supermatrix fields, thereby making any explicit calculations in that approach impossible. Extensions to higher-order statistics can be performed by using an involved method of differential equations for quantum correlation functions proposed in the much earlier work [16].

In the present paper we address the issue of higher-order parametric level statistics within the framework of the random matrix theory, by appealing to the model of coupled Hermitian random matrices [17]. The latter enables us to provide a complete information about parametric correlations of single electron level densities in the presence of the multicomponent flux perturbing a disordered system, characterized by a dimensionless conductance $g \gg 1$. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first detailed study of higher-order parametric level statistics in disordered systems that adopts the conventional language of the random matrix theory.

In what follows we consider a weakly disordered system fallen in the universal (metallic) regime, $g \gg 1$, which is known [5] to be modeled by invariant ensembles of large random matrices. Assuming that the time reversal symmetry is completely broken (unitary symmetry), one can statistically describe an unperturbed single electron spectrum by a Gaussian unitary ensemble [GUE] of large $N \times N$ random matrices H_0 distributed in accordance with the probability density $\mathcal{P}[H_0] \propto \exp\{-\text{Tr} H_0^2\}$. Such a distribution $\mathcal{P}[H_0]$ induces the energy scale Δ being the mean level spacing, $\Delta = \pi(2N)^{-1/2}$. Let us now apply a Gaussian perturbation consisting of d components $\vec{\varphi}_d = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d)$, which does not change the global unitary symmetry, and which drives the Hamiltonian H_0 to $H = H_0 + \sum_{k=1}^d \phi_k H_k$, with matrices H_k drawn from GUE: $\mathcal{P}[H_k] \propto \exp\{-\text{Tr} H_k^2\}$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. This choice corresponds to the equal “strength” of each component of the “vector” perturbation $\vec{\varphi}_d$ since the average $\langle (H_k)_{\mu\nu} (H_k)_{\mu'\nu'} \rangle$ is independent of the index k . The quantity that provides the most detailed information about parametric correlations in the case of the multicomponent perturbation $\vec{\varphi}_d$ is the correlator of level densities $\nu(E, \vec{\varphi}_d) = \text{Tr} \delta(E - H_0 - \sum_{k=1}^d \phi_k H_k)$ taken at both different values

of energy E and of σ . For this reason, we will concentrate on the dimensionless multipoint correlator

$$k_{p_0, \dots, p_d}(\{\omega^{(0)}\}, \vec{0}; \dots; \{\omega^{(d)}\}, \vec{\varphi}_d) = \Delta^m \left\langle \prod_{i_0=1}^{p_0} \nu(E + \omega_{i_0}^{(0)}, \vec{0}) \prod_{i_1=1}^{p_1} \nu(E + \omega_{i_1}^{(1)}, \vec{\varphi}_1) \cdots \times \prod_{i_d=1}^{p_d} \nu(E + \omega_{i_d}^{(d)}, \vec{\varphi}_d) \right\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $m = p_0 + \dots + p_d$, and the angular brackets stand for averaging over ensembles of Hermitian matrices H_k with $k = 0, \dots, d$. Equation (1) can be rewritten as a $(d+1)$ multiple matrix integral over matrices $\tilde{H}_0 = H_0$ and $\tilde{H}_{\sigma>0} = H_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\sigma} \phi_k H_k$,

$$k_{p_0, \dots, p_d} \propto \int d\tilde{H}_0 \cdots \int d\tilde{H}_d \prod_{\sigma=0}^d \prod_{i_{\sigma}=1}^{p_{\sigma}} \text{Tr} \delta(E + \omega_{i_{\sigma}}^{(\sigma)} - \tilde{H}_{\sigma}) \times \exp \left\{ -\text{Tr} \left[\sum_{\alpha=0}^d (\phi_{\alpha}^{-2} + \phi_{\alpha+1}^{-2}) \tilde{H}_{\alpha}^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{d-1} \phi_{\alpha+1}^{-2} \tilde{H}_{\alpha} \tilde{H}_{\alpha+1} \right] \right\}, \quad (2)$$

with $\phi_0 = 1$ and $\phi_{d+1} = \infty$. [This convention is relaxed everywhere below Eq. (9).] We notice that the strengths ϕ_k ($k = 1, \dots, d$) of the perturbation are supposed to be small, $\phi_k \ll 1$. This is justified in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, since for Gaussian perturbation accepted above, ϕ_k are known to scale with N as $\phi_k = \pi N^{-1/2} X_k$, with X_k being the set of dimensionless parameters of order unity [18].

Our crucial observation is that Eq. (2) can be interpreted as a density-density correlator in the effective model of $(d+1)$ Hermitian random matrices coupled in a chain: Each matrix \tilde{H}_{α} is represented by a point, and two adjacent matrices \tilde{H}_{α} and $\tilde{H}_{\alpha+1}$ are joined by a line if the coupling of the type $\exp\{c_{\alpha} \text{Tr} \tilde{H}_{\alpha} \tilde{H}_{\alpha+1}\}$ is present in Eq. (2). In this situation, the joint probability density of eigenvalues of all the matrices in the chain can be deduced through the Itzykson-Zuber integral [19] making the model of random Hermitian matrices coupled in a chain to be a completely solvable. In accordance with the Eynard-Mehta theorem [17], the dimensionless correlator k_{p_0, \dots, p_d} can be represented as a determinant of the $m \times m$ block matrix, $m = p_0 + \dots + p_d$, consisting of $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ rectangular submatrices $K_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, (d+1)$, each of them having $p_{\alpha-1} \times p_{\beta-1}$ entries [20],

$$k_{p_0, \dots, p_d} = \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} [K_{1,1}(\omega_{i_0}^{(0)}, \omega_{j_0}^{(0)})]_{p_0 \times p_0} & [K_{1,2}(\omega_{i_0}^{(0)}, \omega_{j_1}^{(1)})]_{p_0 \times p_1} & \cdots & [K_{1,d+1}(\omega_{i_0}^{(0)}, \omega_{j_d}^{(d)})]_{p_0 \times p_d} \\ [K_{2,1}(\omega_{i_1}^{(1)}, \omega_{j_0}^{(0)})]_{p_1 \times p_0} & [K_{2,2}(\omega_{i_1}^{(1)}, \omega_{j_1}^{(1)})]_{p_1 \times p_1} & \cdots & [K_{2,d+1}(\omega_{i_1}^{(1)}, \omega_{j_d}^{(d)})]_{p_1 \times p_d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [K_{d+1,1}(\omega_{i_d}^{(d)}, \omega_{j_0}^{(0)})]_{p_d \times p_0} & [K_{d+1,2}(\omega_{i_d}^{(d)}, \omega_{j_1}^{(1)})]_{p_d \times p_1} & \cdots & [K_{d+1,d+1}(\omega_{i_d}^{(d)}, \omega_{j_d}^{(d)})]_{p_d \times p_d} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

The matrix kernels $K_{\alpha, \beta}$ in Eq. (3) are

$$K_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta) = \Delta[H_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta) - E_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta)], \quad (4)$$

where

$$H_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{h_j} Q_{\alpha, j}(\xi) P_{\beta, j}(\eta), \quad (5)$$

and

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(\xi, \eta) = (w_{\alpha} * \cdots * w_{\beta-1})(\xi, \eta) \quad (6)$$

for $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq d+1$; otherwise, $E_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$. Here the partial weights w_{α} are

$$w_{\alpha}(\xi, \eta) = \exp \left(-\frac{V_{\alpha}(\xi) + V_{\alpha+1}(\eta)}{2} + 2\phi_{\alpha}^{-2} \xi \eta \right), \quad (7a)$$

$$V_{\alpha}(\xi) = (\phi_{\alpha-1}^{-2} + \phi_{\alpha}^{-2}) [\delta_{\alpha, 1} + \delta_{\alpha, d+1} + 1] \xi^2 \quad (7b)$$

[compare with the weight of the matrix model, Eq. (2)]. The notation $(w_{\alpha} * \cdots * w_{\beta-1})(\xi, \eta)$ stands for the product of the partial weights w integrated over internal variables of that

product. Two sets of orthogonal functions $P_{\alpha, j}$ and $Q_{\beta, j}$ entering Eq. (5) are determined recursively,

$$P_{\alpha, j}(\xi) = \int d\eta P_{\alpha-1, j}(\eta) w_{\alpha-1}(\eta, \xi), \quad (8a)$$

$$Q_{\beta, j}(\xi) = \int d\eta w_{\beta}(\xi, \eta) Q_{\beta+1, j}(\eta), \quad (8b)$$

for $2 \leq \alpha \leq d+1$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq d$; the starting points of the recursions (8a) and (8b) are the polynomials $P_{1, j} = P_j$ and $Q_{d+1, j} = Q_j$ orthogonal with respect to a *nonlocal* weight $W(\xi, \eta) = (w_1 * \cdots * w_d)(\xi, \eta)$,

$$\int d\xi \int d\eta P_i(\xi) W(\xi, \eta) Q_j(\eta) = h_j \delta_{ij}. \quad (9)$$

Close inspection of the equations above shows that the basic orthogonal polynomials P_j and Q_j can be expressed in terms of Hermite polynomials, $P_j(\xi) = H_j(\xi)$, $Q_j(\xi) = H_j(\xi [1 + \sum_{k=1}^d \phi_k^2]^{-1/2})$. Then, step-by-step integrations in Eqs. (8) yield

$$P_{\alpha,j}(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi})}{\left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha-1} \phi_k^2\right]^{j/2}} e^{-F_\alpha(\xi)} \Phi_j\left(\frac{\xi}{C_{\alpha-1}}\right), \quad (10a)$$

$$Q_{\alpha,j}(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{k=\alpha}^d (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi})}{\left[1 + \sum_{k=\alpha}^d \phi_k^2\right]^{j/2}} e^{F_\alpha(\xi)} \Phi_j\left(\frac{\xi}{C_{\alpha-1}}\right), \quad (10b)$$

where we have introduced the Hermite functions [21] $\Phi_j(\xi) = \exp[-\xi^2/2] H_j(\xi)$. Also, we defined the function

$$F_\alpha(\xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{2} [C_{\alpha-1}^{-2} + (\phi_\alpha^{-2} - \phi_{\alpha-1}^{-2})], \quad (11)$$

and the constant $C_\alpha = [1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} \phi_k^2]^{1/2}$. [In order to compactify the formulas, it is agreed from now on that $\phi_{d+1} = \phi_d, \phi_0 = \phi_1, \sum_{k=\alpha}^{\beta < \alpha} (\dots) = 0$, and $\prod_{k=\alpha}^{\beta < \alpha} (\dots) = 1$]. One can verify that the orthogonality relation (9) is satisfied with

$$h_j = 2^j j! \sqrt{\pi} \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^d \phi_k^2\right]^{-j/2} \prod_{k=1}^d (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi}), \quad (12)$$

so that the first term in Eq. (4) is

$$H_{\alpha,\alpha}(\xi, \eta) = e^{F_\alpha(\xi) - F_\alpha(\eta)} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Phi_j\left(\frac{\xi}{C_{\alpha-1}}\right) \Phi_j\left(\frac{\eta}{C_{\alpha-1}}\right), \quad (13a)$$

$$H_{\alpha < \beta}(\xi, \eta) = \prod_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi}) e^{F_\alpha(\xi) - F_\beta(\eta)} \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Phi_j\left(\frac{\xi}{C_{\alpha-1}}\right) \Phi_j\left(\frac{\eta}{C_{\beta-1}}\right)}{\left[1 + \sum_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} \phi_k^2\right]^{j/2}}, \quad (13b)$$

$$H_{\alpha > \beta}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \frac{e^{F_\alpha(\xi) - F_\beta(\eta)}}{\prod_{k=\beta}^{\alpha-1} (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi})} \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Phi_j\left(\frac{\xi}{C_{\alpha-1}}\right) \Phi_j\left(\frac{\eta}{C_{\beta-1}}\right)}{\left[1 + \sum_{k=\beta}^{\alpha-1} \phi_k^2\right]^{-j/2}}. \quad (13c)$$

The second term in Eq. (4) is found from Eqs. (6) and (7),

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\prod_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi}) e^{G_\alpha(\xi) - G_\beta(\eta)}}{\sqrt{\pi \sum_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} \phi_k^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(\xi - \eta)^2}{\sum_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} \phi_k^2}\right\} \quad (14)$$

for $\beta \geq \alpha + 2$, while $E_{\alpha,\alpha} = 0$ and $E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} = w_\alpha$. Here the function G_α reads

$$G_\alpha(\xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{2} (\phi_\alpha^{-2} - \phi_{\alpha-1}^{-2}). \quad (15)$$

Now, we are in position to compute the matrix kernels $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ via Eqs. (4), (13), and (14) in the leading order in $N \rightarrow \infty$ and keeping $X_k = \phi_k N^{1/2} / \pi \sim O(1)$ fixed. The simplest, diagonal kernel $K_{\alpha,\alpha}$ can be evaluated through the Christoffel-Darboux formula [22], supplemented by the asymptotics of Hermite functions,

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_{2N}(t) \\ \Phi_{2N+1}(t) \end{cases} \simeq \frac{(-1)^N}{N^{1/4} \sqrt{\pi}} \begin{cases} \cos(2tN^{1/2}) \\ \sin(2tN^{1/2}) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where $t \sim \Delta O(N^0)$. One obtains,

$$K_{\alpha,\alpha}(\xi, \eta) = e^{G_\alpha(\xi) - G_\alpha(\eta)} \frac{\sin[\pi \Delta^{-1}(\xi - \eta)]}{\pi \Delta^{-1}(\xi - \eta)}. \quad (17)$$

Two other cases, $\alpha < \beta$ and $\alpha > \beta$, demand more effort. For $\alpha < \beta$ we represent the sum for $H_{\alpha < \beta}$ in Eq. (13b) as a difference of two series, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\dots) - \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\dots)$. The first sum is exactly computable by making use of the Mehler summation formula [22]. In the thermodynamic limit, this procedure yields a term that is equal to $E_{\alpha,\beta}$ in Eq. (14), and therefore it gets canceled from the expression (4) for $K_{\alpha < \beta}$, which is completely due to the remaining sum $\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} (\dots)$. To evaluate the latter, we replace the sum over j by an integral to get

$$K_{\alpha < \beta}(\xi, \eta) = - \prod_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi}) e^{G_\alpha(\xi) - G_\beta(\eta)} \times \int_1^\infty d\lambda_1 \cos\left\{\pi \frac{\xi - \eta}{\Delta} \lambda_1\right\} \times \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 \lambda_1^2}{2} \sum_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} X_k^2\right). \quad (18)$$

In the case $\alpha > \beta$ the large- j terms in Eq. (13c) yield the main contribution to the sum due to the factor $[1 + \sum_{k=\beta}^{\alpha-1} \phi_k^2]^{j/2}$. Then, passing from summation to integration, we derive

$$K_{\alpha > \beta}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \frac{e^{G_\alpha(\xi) - G_\beta(\eta)}}{\prod_{k=\beta}^{\alpha-1} (\phi_k \sqrt{\pi})} \times \int_0^1 d\lambda \cos\left(\pi \frac{\xi - \eta}{\Delta} \lambda\right) \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2 \lambda^2}{2} \sum_{k=\beta}^{\alpha-1} X_k^2\right). \quad (19)$$

Notice that the structure of the block matrix in Eq. (3) allows one to simultaneously suppress the prefactors of the form $\prod_k (\dots) e^{(\dots)}$ in Eqs. (17), (18), and (19). Having this in mind, we come down to the closed analytical determinantal expression Eq. (3) for $(p_0 + \dots + p_d)$ -point density-density correlator with $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ replaced by $M_{\alpha,\beta}$,

$$M_{\alpha,\alpha}(\xi, \eta) \equiv \frac{\sin[\pi \Delta^{-1}(\xi - \eta)]}{\pi \Delta^{-1}(\xi - \eta)}, \quad (20a)$$

$$M_{\alpha<\beta}(\xi, \eta) \equiv - \int_1^\infty d\lambda_1 \cos\left(\pi \frac{\xi - \eta}{\Delta} \lambda_1\right) \times \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2 \lambda_1^2}{2} \sum_{k=\alpha}^{\beta-1} X_k^2\right), \quad (20b)$$

$$M_{\alpha>\beta}(\xi, \eta) \equiv \int_0^1 d\lambda \cos\left(\pi \frac{\xi - \eta}{\Delta} \lambda\right) \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2 \lambda^2}{2} \sum_{k=\beta}^{\alpha-1} X_k^2\right). \quad (20c)$$

Equations (3) and (20) are the main result of the paper. They provide a detailed information about higher order parametric density-density correlations in the case of multiparameter perturbation of disordered system. Several particular correlators can be readily deduced from our general expression: (i) For the scalar perturbation, one obtains that $k_{p,q} = \Delta^{p+q} \langle \Pi_{i=1}^p \nu(E + \omega_i, 0) \Pi_{j=1}^q \nu(E + \Omega_j, \phi) \rangle$ is determined by

$$k_{p,q} \equiv \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\alpha,\alpha}(\omega_i, \omega_j) & M_{\alpha<\beta}(\omega_i, \Omega_j) \\ M_{\alpha>\beta}(\Omega_i, \omega_j) & M_{\alpha,\alpha}(\Omega_i, \Omega_j) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ are those given by Eqs. (20) with $\sum_k X_k^2 \rightarrow X^2$; (ii) By replacement [3] $\omega_i/\Delta \rightarrow r_i, \Omega_i/\Delta \rightarrow R_i$ and $X^2 \rightarrow -2i\tau$ in Eq. (21) one arrives at the space-time correlation function in the CSM model with a coupling $\lambda=1$; here the coordinates $\{r_i\}$ correspond to the time $t=0$, while the $\{R_i\}$ refer to the time $t=\tau$.

In summary, we presented a random-matrix-theory treatment of the problem of higher-order parametric spectral statistics in disordered systems with broken time reversal symmetry in the presence of the multiparameter perturbation. A complete analytical solution was based on the mapping of the initial problem onto a model of random Hermitian matrices coupled in a chain. As a particular case of the general solution, given by Eqs. (3) and (20) the multipoint parametric spectral correlator Eq. (21) for the scalar perturbation has been obtained. Together with a well-established correspondence between CSM fermions and parametric level statistics, the latter expression provides an information about the space-time correlation function in the Calogero-Sutherland-Moser model of free, noninteracting fermions.

The authors thank I. Yurkevich for bringing Ref. [16] to our attention.

-
- [1] A. Szafer and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 587 (1993).
[2] B.D. Simons and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4063 (1993); Phys. Rev. B **48**, 5422 (1993).
[3] B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee, and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4122 (1993).
[4] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices* (Academic Press, Boston, 1991).
[5] K.B. Efetov, Adv. Phys. **32**, 53 (1983).
[6] J.J.M. Verbaarschot, H.A. Weidenmüller, and M.R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rep. **129**, 367 (1985).
[7] O. Narayan and B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2106 (1993).
[8] C.W.J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4126 (1993); C.W.J. Beenakker and B. Rejaei, Physica A **203**, 61 (1994).
[9] M.V. Berry and J. Keating, J. Phys. A **27**, 6167 (1994).
[10] A.M. Ozorio de Almeida, C.H. Lewenkopf, and E.R. Muciolo, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 5693 (1998).
[11] N. Deo, S. Jair, and B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. E **52**, 4836 (1995).
[12] B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee, and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 64 (1994).
[13] N. Taniguchi, B.S. Shastry, and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3724 (1995).
[14] M.R. Zirnbauer and F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 8729 (1995), and references therein.
[15] B.D. Simons, P.A. Lee, and B.L. Altshuler, Nucl. Phys. B **409**, 487 (1993); B.L. Altshuler and B.D. Simons, in *Mesoscopic Quantum Physics*, edited by E. Akkermans *et al.*, Proceedings of Les Houches Session LXI 1994 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995).
[16] A.R. Its, A.G. Izergin, V.E. Korepin, and N.A. Slavnov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 1003 (1990).
[17] B. Eynard and M.L. Mehta, J. Phys. A **31**, 4449 (1998); G. Mahoux, M.L. Mehta, and J.-M. Normand, *ibid.* **31**, 4457 (1998); M.L. Mehta and P. Shukla, *ibid.* **27**, 7793 (1994); M.L. Mehta, Commun. Math. Phys. **79**, 327 (1981).
[18] The relation $\phi_k = \pi N^{-1/2} X_k$ can be obtained, for instance, by comparison of supersymmetric generating functionals derived for the model of coupled Hermitian random matrices with that [2] known for the microscopic problem of electron motion in disordered potential in the *0D* limit of nonlinear, σ model [5,6].
[19] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 411 (1980).
[20] More precisely, one should write $E + \omega_{i\sigma}^{(\sigma)}$ instead of $\omega_{i\sigma}^{(\sigma)}$. As far as we will be interested in the local regime, in which $K_{\alpha,\beta}(E, E') = K_{\alpha,\beta}(E - E')$ is translationally invariant, one may omit E in both arguments.
[21] The Hermite functions are fixed by the orthogonality relation $\int dt \exp[-t^2] H_j(t) H_k(t) = \delta_{jk}$ for the associated Hermite polynomials.
[22] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1967).